

Rough Fuzzy Distance of the Rough Fuzzy Number

JIANG Jinsong^{1,*}; GAO Youwu¹

¹Hunan institute of Engineering, Xiangtan, 411101, China

*Corresponding author.

Address: Hunan institute of Engineering, Xiangtan, 411101, China

Received 19 November, 2011; accepted 13 February, 2012

Abstract

Rough sets theory and fuzzy sets theory research the unperfect problem in information systems. the combination of them formed Rough fuzzy sets and Rough Fuzzy number in this paper, defines the Rough fuzzy distance of the Rough fuzzy number. Then it discusses the nature of Rough fuzzy distance.

Key words

Rough fuzzy number; Distance; Rough fuzzy Distance

JIANG Jinsong, GAO Youwu (2012). Rough Fuzzy Distance of the Rough fuzzy Number. *Studies in Mathematical Sciences*, 4(1), 30-32. Available from: URL: <http://www.cscanada.net/index.php/sms/article/view/j.sms.1923845220120401.1755>
 DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3968/j.sms.1923845220120401.1755>

1. FUNDAMENTAL CONCEPT

In this paper, R is the realnumber set, $F(R)$ is all of the fuzzy subsets of the R .

Definition 1.1^[2] Assume $\tilde{a} \in F(R)$, we call \tilde{a} a fuzzy number. let

- (1) \tilde{a} is regular that is, there exists a $x_0 \in R$, for which $\tilde{a}(x_0) = 1$
- (2) $\forall \lambda \in (0, 1)$, $a_\lambda = \{x | \tilde{a}(x) \geq \lambda\}$ is a bounded and closed interval, noted for $[a^-(\lambda), a^+(\lambda)]$.

Definition 1.2 If \tilde{b} is a fuzzy number defined by the membership function $b(x)$. Assume $\underline{b}, \bar{b} : R/S(\equiv X_1, \dots, X_n) \rightarrow [0, 1]$, Let $\underline{b}(X_i) = \inf_{x \in X_i} b(x)$, $\bar{b}(X_i) = \sup_{x \in X_i} b(x)$, $(1, 2, \dots, n)$, we call (\underline{b}, \bar{b}) a Rough fuzzy number and note it for RF number.

Definition 1.3 fuzzy number $A, \bar{A} : R \rightarrow [0, 1]$ Suppose $\underline{A}(x) = \underline{b}(X_i)$, $\bar{A}(x) = \bar{b}(X_i)$. $x \in X_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$, we call $A = (\underline{A}, \bar{A})$ a Rough fuzzy number

Definition 1.4 $\underline{A}_a = \{x | \underline{A}(x) \geq a\}$, $\bar{A}_a = \{x | \bar{A}(x) \geq a\}$, $A_a = (\underline{A}_a, \bar{A}_a)$

The properties and calculation rules of the rough fuzzy number refer to the paper [4~6]. Suppose $A \in \text{RFN}$, \underline{A}_a and \bar{A}_a is respectively the confidence interval of \underline{A}, \bar{A} with the speculation degrees of $a (a \in (0, 1))$. We suppose $\underline{A}_a = [a_1^{(a)}, a_2^{(a)}]$, $\bar{A}_a = [a_3^{(a)}, a_4^{(a)}]$. According to the decomposition theorem [6] of the rough fuzzy number, we have $\underline{A} = \cup_{a \in (0,1)} a \cdot [a_1^{(a)}, a_2^{(a)}]$, $\bar{A} = \cup_{a \in (0,1)} a \cdot [a_3^{(a)}, a_4^{(a)}]$.

We define the partial order \leq on the RFN as followe: $\forall A, B \in \text{RFN}$, $\forall a \in (0, 1]$ $\underline{A}_a = [a_1^{(a)}, a_2^{(a)}]$, $\bar{A}_a = [a_3^{(a)}, a_4^{(a)}]$, $\underline{B}_a = [b_1^{(a)}, b_2^{(a)}]$, $\bar{B}_a = [b_3^{(a)}, b_4^{(a)}]$, if and only if $a_i^{(a)} \leq b_i^{(a)} (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$, $A < B$ means that $A \leq B$, and there exists a $a_0 \in (0, 1)$, which makes $a_i^{(a_0)} < b_i^{(a_0)} (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$

Definition 1.5 Suppose \tilde{a} is a fuzzy number, we call \tilde{a} a convex fuzzy. let $\forall x, y \in (0, 1]$,

$$\tilde{a}(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \geq \tilde{a}(x) \wedge \tilde{a}(y)$$

Theorem 1.1 Suppose \tilde{a} is a fuzzy number, the necessary and sufficient condition of \tilde{a} is a fuzzy convexity is that $\forall \lambda \in (0, 1], a_\lambda = \{x | \tilde{a}(x) \geq \lambda\}$ is a convex set.

Theorem 1.2 Suppose \tilde{a} is a fuzzy number, then we have the \tilde{a} is a convex fuzzy.

2. ROUGH FUZZYDISTANCE

Definition 2.1 Suppose $A, B \in RFN, RFN_+ = \{A | A \geq 0, A \in RFN\}$, the mapping $\tilde{\rho}: RFN \times RFN \rightarrow RFN_+$ is called the Rough fuzzy distance of the rough fuzzy number. if $\tilde{\rho}$ satisfies the conditions as follow:

- (1) $\tilde{\rho}(A, B) \geq 0, \tilde{\rho}(A, B) = 0$ if and only if $A = B$;
- (2) $\tilde{\rho}(A, B) = \tilde{\rho}(B, A)$;
- (3) $\forall C \in RFN$, we have: $\tilde{\rho}(A, B) \leq \tilde{\rho}(A, C) + \tilde{\rho}(C, B)$.

Theorem 2.1 Assume $\forall A, B \in RFN, \tilde{\rho}(A, B) = (\rho, \bar{\rho})$ where:

$$\rho = \bigcup_{\lambda \in (0, 1]} \lambda [0, \frac{1}{2} \int_{\lambda}^1 (|a_1(\alpha) - b_1(\alpha)| + |a_2(\alpha) - b_2(\alpha)|) d\alpha]$$

$$\bar{\rho} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in (0, 1]} \lambda [a_3(\lambda) - b_3(\lambda), \sup_{\lambda \leq \alpha \leq 1} (|b_3(\alpha) - a_3(\alpha)| \vee |b_4(\alpha) - a_4(\alpha)|)].$$

Then we have $\tilde{\rho}(A, B)$ is a Rough fuzzy Distance.

Proof: according to $A, B \in RFN$, we get the α -confidence interval of speculation level of $\underline{A}, \bar{A}, \underline{B}, \bar{B}$, $\forall a \in (0, 1]$:

$$\underline{A}_a = [a_1^{(a)}, a_2^{(a)}], \quad \bar{A}_a = [a_3^{(a)}, a_4^{(a)}], \quad \underline{B}_a = [b_1^{(a)}, b_2^{(a)}], \quad \bar{B}_a = [b_3^{(a)}, b_4^{(a)}],$$

Noting the definition of $\underline{A}, \bar{A}, \underline{B}, \bar{B}$. thus, $\underline{A}_a, \bar{A}_a, \underline{B}_a, \bar{B}_a$ are the convex. and $\underline{A}_a = [a_1^{(a)}, a_2^{(a)}], \bar{A}_a = [a_3^{(a)}, a_4^{(a)}], \underline{B}_a = [b_1^{(a)}, b_2^{(a)}], \bar{B}_a = [b_3^{(a)}, b_4^{(a)}]$ are all bounded, closed interval. so $a_i^{(a)}, b_i^{(a)} (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ $a \in (0, 1]$ are continuous, or there are jump discontinuities, moreover there are bounded. so, the integral: $\int_{\lambda}^1 (|a_1(\alpha) - b_1(\alpha)| + |a_2(\alpha) - b_2(\alpha)|) d\alpha$ is meaningful.

obviously $\tilde{\rho}(A, B) \geq 0$, (1) let $\tilde{\rho}(A, B) = 0$, we have $\forall a \in (0, 1], |a_1(\alpha) - b_1(\alpha)| + |a_2(\alpha) - b_2(\alpha)| = 0, |a_3(\alpha) - b_3(\alpha)| = 0. \sup_{\lambda \leq \alpha \leq 1} \{|a_3(\alpha) - b_3(\alpha)| \vee |a_4(\alpha) - b_4(\alpha)|\} = 0$. thus, $a_i^{(a)} = b_i^{(a)} (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$

Hence $A = B$. inverse, let $A = B$, we have $\forall a \in (0, 1], a_i^{(a)} = b_i^{(a)} (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$, thus $\tilde{\rho}(A, B) = 0$.

(2) obviously $\tilde{\rho}(A, B) = \tilde{\rho}(B, A)$; ($\forall A, B \in RFN$)

(3) let $\forall C \in RFN, \underline{C}_a, \bar{C}_a$ is respectively the confidence interval of \underline{C}, \bar{C} with $a \in (0, 1]$ speculation level, Suppose that:

$$\underline{C}_a = [C_1^{(a)}, C_2^{(a)}], \quad \bar{C}_a = [C_3^{(a)}, C_4^{(a)}]$$

$$|a_1(\alpha) - b_1(\alpha)| \leq |a_1(\alpha) - c_1(\alpha)| + |c_1(\alpha) - b_1(\alpha)|.$$

$$|a_2(\alpha) - b_2(\alpha)| \leq |a_2(\alpha) - c_2(\alpha)| + |c_2(\alpha) - b_2(\alpha)|,$$

we have $|a_1(\alpha) - b_1(\alpha)| + |a_2(\alpha) - b_2(\alpha)| \leq |a_1(\alpha) - c_1(\alpha)| + |c_1(\alpha) - b_1(\alpha)| + |a_2(\alpha) - c_2(\alpha)| + |c_2(\alpha) - b_2(\alpha)|$, and, $|a_3(\alpha) - b_3(\alpha)| \leq |a_3(\alpha) - c_3(\alpha)| + |c_3(\alpha) - b_3(\alpha)|, |a_4(\alpha) - b_4(\alpha)| \leq |a_4(\alpha) - c_4(\alpha)| + |c_4(\alpha) - b_4(\alpha)|$, we have $|a_3(\alpha) - b_3(\alpha)| \leq |a_3(\alpha) - c_3(\alpha)| \vee |a_4(\alpha) - c_4(\alpha)| + |c_3(\alpha) - b_3(\alpha)| \vee |c_4(\alpha) - b_4(\alpha)|$, and, $|a_4(\alpha) - b_4(\alpha)| \leq |a_3(\alpha) - c_3(\alpha)| \vee |a_4(\alpha) - c_4(\alpha)| + |c_3(\alpha) - b_3(\alpha)| \vee |c_4(\alpha) - b_4(\alpha)|$, thus, $\forall \alpha \in [\lambda, 1], (\lambda \in (0, 1])$ $|a_3(\alpha) - b_3(\alpha)| \vee |a_4(\alpha) - b_4(\alpha)| \leq |a_3(\alpha) - c_3(\alpha)| \vee |a_4(\alpha) - c_4(\alpha)| + |c_3(\alpha) - b_3(\alpha)| \vee |c_4(\alpha) - b_4(\alpha)| \leq \sup_{\lambda \leq \alpha \leq 1} |a_3(\alpha) - c_3(\alpha)| \vee |a_4(\alpha) - c_4(\alpha)| + \sup_{\lambda \leq \alpha \leq 1} |c_3(\alpha) - b_3(\alpha)| \vee |c_4(\alpha) - b_4(\alpha)|$, Hence, $\forall \lambda \in (0, 1]$ $\sup_{\lambda \leq \alpha \leq 1} |a_3(\alpha) - b_3(\alpha)| \vee |a_4(\alpha) - b_4(\alpha)| \leq \sup_{\lambda \leq \alpha \leq 1} |a_3(\alpha) - c_3(\alpha)| \vee |a_4(\alpha) - c_4(\alpha)| + \sup_{\lambda \leq \alpha \leq 1} |c_3(\alpha) - b_3(\alpha)| \vee |c_4(\alpha) - b_4(\alpha)|$, hence, $\tilde{\rho}(A, B) \leq \tilde{\rho}(A, C) + \tilde{\rho}(C, B)$.

Theorem 2.2 Assume $\forall A, B \in RFN \tilde{\rho}(A, B) = (\tilde{\rho}, \rho)$, where

$$\rho = \cup_{\lambda \in (0, 1]} \lambda [0, \max(\int_{\lambda}^1 |a_1(\alpha) - b_1(\alpha)| d\alpha, \int_{\lambda}^1 |a_2(\alpha) - b_2(\alpha)| d\alpha)]$$

$$\rho = \bigcup_{\lambda \in (0,1]} \lambda [\sup_{0 \leq \alpha \leq \lambda} |a_3(\alpha) - b_3(\alpha)|, \sup_{\lambda \leq \alpha \leq 1} |a_4(\alpha) - b_4(\alpha)| \vee \sup_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} |a_3(\alpha) - b_3(\alpha)|]$$

Then we have $\tilde{\rho}(A,B)$ is a Rough fuzzy Distance.

Proof: similar to Theorem 2.1.

Combining Definition 2.1, Theorem 2.1 or Theorem 2.2. we can obtain

Theorem 2.3 $A, B, C, D \in RFN, K \in R$. we have:

- (1) $\tilde{\rho}(A+B, A+C) = \tilde{\rho}(B, C)$.
- (2) $\tilde{\rho}(B-A, C-A) = \tilde{\rho}(B, C)$.
- (3) $\tilde{\rho}(A-B, A-C) = \tilde{\rho}(-B, -C)$.
- (4) If $K \geq 0$, then we have $\tilde{\rho}(KA, KB) = K\tilde{\rho}(A, B)$.
If $K < 0$, we have $\tilde{\rho}(KA, KB) = |K|\tilde{\rho}(-A, -B)$.
- (5) If $A \leq B \leq C$, we have: $\tilde{\rho}(A, B) \leq \tilde{\rho}(A, C)$. $\tilde{\rho}(B, C) \leq \tilde{\rho}(A, C)$.
- (6) If $A \leq C \leq B$, $A \leq D \leq B$, we have: $\tilde{\rho}(C, D) \leq \tilde{\rho}(A, B)$.

Proof: we will proof (4), (6), the others can be proofed similarly.

(4) If $K \in [0, +\infty)$, we have $KA = \bigcup_{a \in (0,1]} a. [Ka_1^{(a)}, Ka_2^{(a)}]$, $KB = \bigcup_{a \in (0,1]} a. [Ka_3^{(a)}, Ka_4^{(a)}]$. $KB = \bigcup_{a \in (0,1]} a. [Kb_1^{(a)}, Kb_2^{(a)}]$, $K\tilde{B} = \bigcup_{a \in (0,1]} a. [Kb_3^{(a)}, Kb_4^{(a)}]$. thus. $\tilde{\rho}(KA, KB) = (K\rho, K\bar{\rho}) = K(\rho, \bar{\rho}) = K\tilde{\rho}(A, B)$ where

$$\rho = \bigcup_{\lambda \in (0,1]} \lambda [0, \frac{1}{2} \int_{\lambda}^1 (|a_1(\alpha) - b_1(\alpha)| + |a_2(\alpha) - b_2(\alpha)|) d\alpha].$$

$$\bar{\rho} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in (0,1]} \lambda [|a_3(\lambda) - b_3(\lambda)|, \sup_{\lambda \leq \alpha \leq 1} (|b_3(\alpha) - a_3(\alpha)| \vee |b_4(\alpha) - a_4(\alpha)|)].$$

In the same way we can proof that: if $K < 0$, we have $\tilde{\rho}(KA, KB) = |K|\tilde{\rho}(-A, -B)$.

(6) let $A \leq C \leq B$, $A \leq D \leq B$, thus $\forall a \in (0, 1]$ we have $|c_i^{(a)} - d_i^{(a)}| \leq |b_i^{(a)} - a_i^{(a)}|, (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$

Hence $\tilde{\rho}(C, D) \leq \tilde{\rho}(A, B)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Banerjee M. Pal SK (1996). Roughness of a Fuzzy Set. *Inform Sci*, 93, 235-246.
- [2] Pawlak. Z (1982). Rough Sets. *International Journal of Computer and Information Sciences*, 5, 341-356.
- [3] Pawlak. Z, et al (1995). Rough Sets. *Communication of the ACM*, 38, 89-95.
- [4] CHENG, Yi (2002). The Rough Fuzzy Number. *Journal of Sichuan Normal University (Natural Science)*, (25), 331-334.
- [5] LUO, Shiyao (2003). The Closeness of Rough Fuzzy Numbers, *Journal of Sichuan Normal University (Natural Science)*, 26(6), 580-583.
- [6] LUO, Shiyao (2004). Decomposition Theorem and Representation Theorem on Rough Fuzzy Numbers. *Journal of Sichuan Normal University (Natural Science)*, 27(3), 242-244.