

Experiences of Northern Brazil of Interinstitutional Cooperation for Access to Justice

Claudio Antonio Klaus Junior^{[a],*}

^[a] Associate Professor on the Faculty of Social Sciences. Development and Society, Universidade Alto Vale do Rio do Peixe, Boa Vista – RR, Brazil.

*Corresponding author.

Received 29 April 2024; accepted 31 May 2024

Published online 26 June 2024

Abstract

This article examines the innovative approaches and solutions implemented to improve access to justice in the northern regions of Brazil, focusing on two case studies: the administrative cooperation in the Belém do Solimões community and the Justiça Cidadã program in Roraima. Both initiatives address the challenges posed by geographical barriers, cultural diversity, and the need for interinstitutional cooperation. Through detailed analysis and field observations, the article highlights the critical role of partnerships, digital governance, and tailored solutions in ensuring equitable access to justice for vulnerable populations in remote areas.

Key words: Access to justice; Interinstitutional cooperation; Digital governance; Remote areas; Amazonas; Roraima

Klaus, C. A. Jr (2024). Experiences of Northern Brazil of Interinstitutional Cooperation for Access to Justice. *Canadian Social Science*, 20(3), 1-6. Available from: <http://www.cscanada.net/index.php/css/article/view/13435>
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3968/13435>

INTRODUCTION

The Constitution of the Federative Republic of Brazil of 1988 establishes, in its Article 1, that Brazil constitutes itself as a Democratic State of Law with citizenship and the dignity of the human person as its foundations. According to Silva (2005), this constitutional provision

is not merely a promise of state organization but a proclamation and foundation of it.

The democracy that the Democratic State of Law seeks to achieve must be a process of social coexistence in a free, just, and solidary society, where power emanates from the people and is exercised for their benefit. This democracy should be participatory, pluralistic, and liberating, fostering the full exercise of individual, political, and social rights.

Sartori (1994) adds that democracy exists only to the extent that its ideals and values give it existence. Democracies represent political societies directed towards a goal established through democratic processes and procedures. As democracy evolves, its principles become incorporated into specific political societies, shaping state goals through participatory processes.

This article explores the challenges and solutions related to access to justice in the northern region of Brazil, particularly focusing on two experiences, the one from Amazonas state and one from the Roraima state. The discussion will delve into the implications of geographical barriers, the role of digital governance, and the necessity of interinstitutional cooperation to improve access to justice for vulnerable populations in remote areas.

ACCESS TO JUSTICE IN A DEMOCRATIC STATE

Access to justice is a fundamental aspect of a democratic state, ensuring that all individuals have the opportunity to seek and obtain legal remedies through impartial, efficient, and effective justice institutions. Cappelletti and Garth (1988) describe access to justice as encompassing two primary purposes: the system must be equally accessible to all, and it must produce outcomes that are both individually and socially just.

The concept of access to justice extends far beyond

the simple admission to the judicial process. It involves a comprehensive framework that ensures individuals can participate effectively in the legal process, whether as plaintiffs or defendants, with minimal barriers. This means that justice should not only be a right in theory but also a reality in practice. It includes the guarantee of due process, where every individual is given a fair chance to present their case, the right to a fair hearing, where decisions are made based on the merits of the case, and the ability to influence the judge's decision through active participation. These elements are essential in ensuring that justice is not only done but also seen to be done.

Watanabe (2019) further emphasizes that access to justice should be viewed from the perspective of the recipients of legal norms. This perspective considers the political, social, economic, and cultural contexts of those seeking justice. By acknowledging these contexts, the justice system can be more responsive and relevant to the needs of the people it serves. This approach ensures that the organization of justice is not disconnected from social reality but is instead a true reflection of the society it aims to serve.

In practice, this means that the justice system must be adaptable and sensitive to the diverse needs of different communities. For example, in remote and rural areas, access to justice may require the establishment of mobile courts or the use of digital technologies to bridge the gap caused by geographical barriers. In urban areas, the focus might be on addressing economic barriers, such as providing free legal aid to those who cannot afford it. By tailoring solutions to the specific needs of different communities, the justice system can ensure that access to justice is a reality for all.

Moreover, access to justice also involves addressing the various barriers that individuals may face in seeking legal remedies. These barriers can be legal, such as complex and inaccessible legal procedures, or non-legal, such as language barriers, lack of awareness of legal rights, or economic constraints. Overcoming these barriers requires a multifaceted approach that includes legal reforms, public education, and the provision of support services such as interpreters and legal aid. It also involves building a culture of accessibility within the justice system, where the focus is on removing obstacles rather than creating them.

The role of the judiciary is crucial in ensuring access to justice. Judges must be not only impartial and fair but also proactive in identifying and addressing barriers to justice. This might involve taking steps to make court procedures more understandable, ensuring that hearings are conducted in a language that the parties understand, or making accommodations for individuals with disabilities. Judges also have a role in promoting public confidence in the justice system by ensuring that their decisions are transparent and based on sound legal principles.

Additionally, access to justice is fundamentally about the protection and promotion of human rights. It ensures that individuals can seek redress for violations of their rights and hold those in power accountable. This is particularly important in contexts where there may be systemic discrimination or where vulnerable groups, such as minorities or economically disadvantaged individuals, may face additional barriers to accessing justice. By ensuring that these individuals can access justice, the system helps to promote equality and protect human dignity.

Finally, access to justice is an ongoing process that requires continuous improvement and adaptation. It involves not only addressing immediate barriers but also working towards systemic changes that make the justice system more inclusive and effective. This includes ongoing training for judicial officers, the development of new technologies to improve access, and the establishment of mechanisms to ensure accountability and transparency. By continually striving to improve access to justice, the legal system can better serve the needs of society and uphold the principles of democracy and the rule of law.

GEOGRAPHICAL BARRIERS TO ACCESS TO JUSTICE IN THE BRAZILIAN AMAZON

As exposed by Nogueira (2023), the Brazilian Amazon, which covers about 60% of the country's territory, poses unique challenges for access to justice due to its vast geographical expanse and the predominance of riverside populations who often live far from urban centers. This region is characterized by dense forests, numerous rivers, and a sparse population distribution, creating significant logistical difficulties in delivering public services, including judicial ones. The physical isolation of many communities exacerbates the challenges, as they are often accessible only by boat or small aircraft, making regular travel to urban centers impractical and expensive.

Communities in the Amazon, particularly those along the rivers, are socially and economically distinct from urban populations. They rely heavily on natural resources for their livelihoods and maintain unique social organizations that are closely tied to their environment. These factors contribute to the difficulties they face in accessing justice. Without regular transportation infrastructure, such as roads and bridges, residents must travel long distances by boat, which can take days and is dependent on weather conditions and river levels. This isolation not only hampers their ability to reach courts and legal services but also limits their awareness of legal rights and access to legal information.

Judicial services in these remote areas are sparse and often under-resourced. Courts are usually located in urban centers, far from the reach of many Amazonian communities. The logistical challenges of transporting judges, lawyers, and court staff to these remote areas

can be daunting. Furthermore, the lack of adequate communication infrastructure means that residents cannot easily contact legal professionals or receive timely updates on their cases. This geographical isolation results in delayed legal processes and, in many cases, complete inaccessibility to judicial remedies.

Additionally, the socio-economic conditions of these communities further compound the barriers to justice. Many riverside populations live in poverty, lacking the financial resources to travel to urban centers or hire legal representation. Language barriers can also be significant, as many indigenous communities speak languages other than Portuguese, the official language of Brazil. These communities may require interpreters to understand legal proceedings and documents, adding another layer of complexity to accessing justice.

Efforts to improve access to justice in the Amazon must address these multifaceted challenges. Innovative solutions, such as mobile courts that travel to remote communities, can help bring judicial services closer to the people. Additionally, increasing the presence of local legal aid clinics and training community paralegals can empower residents with the knowledge and tools to navigate the legal system. Infrastructure improvements, such as better transportation networks and enhanced communication systems, are also critical to overcoming the geographical barriers that hinder access to justice in this vast region.

DIGITAL GOVERNANCE AND ACCESS TO JUSTICE

Digital governance offers promising solutions to the challenges of accessing justice in remote areas like the Brazilian Amazon. The implementation of digital judicial services can bridge the gap created by geographical barriers, enabling residents in isolated communities to access legal resources and participate in judicial processes without the need for physical travel. This technological shift is essential for modernizing the justice system and making it more inclusive and accessible.

One significant development in digital governance is the “Juízo 100% Digital” initiative, which was introduced by the Brazilian judiciary during the COVID-19 pandemic. This initiative aims to digitize court proceedings, allowing cases to be filed, managed, and adjudicated entirely online. Virtual service desks provide another layer of accessibility, enabling individuals to interact with court staff, submit documents, and receive assistance through digital platforms. These tools have been particularly beneficial in maintaining the continuity of judicial services during the pandemic when physical court operations were severely restricted.

However, the success of digital governance in enhancing access to justice is contingent on the

availability and quality of internet access. In many parts of the Amazon, internet connectivity is unreliable or nonexistent. Even in areas where internet services are available, they are often slow and expensive, making it difficult for residents to participate in digital judicial processes. Addressing this digital divide is crucial for the effective implementation of digital governance initiatives. Investments in infrastructure to provide high-speed internet access in remote regions are essential for ensuring that digital judicial services are accessible to all.

Moreover, digital literacy is a significant barrier to the effective use of online judicial services. Many residents in remote areas may not be familiar with using digital devices or navigating online platforms. Providing training and support to enhance digital literacy is vital for empowering individuals to use these tools effectively. Community outreach programs and partnerships with local organizations can play a crucial role in educating residents about digital judicial services and how to access them.

The implementation of digital governance also raises concerns about data security and privacy. Ensuring that digital judicial platforms are secure and that personal information is protected is critical for building trust in these systems. Robust cybersecurity measures and clear policies on data handling are necessary to safeguard sensitive information and prevent misuse.

THE ROLE OF INTERINSTITUTIONAL COOPERATION

Interinstitutional cooperation is crucial for enhancing access to justice in remote areas. The judiciary cannot operate in isolation and must collaborate with other public institutions and civil society organizations to overcome logistical and structural challenges. This cooperation can take various forms, including mobile judicial units, partnerships with local organizations, and the use of technology to facilitate remote participation in legal processes.

Mobile judicial units are an effective way to bring justice to remote communities. These units, often consisting of judges, lawyers, and court staff, travel to isolated areas to hold court sessions, provide legal assistance, and resolve disputes. By bringing the courtroom to the people, mobile units can significantly reduce the barriers to accessing justice. These units can also serve as a platform for community education on legal rights and processes, helping to build legal awareness and empowerment among residents.

Partnerships with local organizations are another critical aspect of interinstitutional cooperation. Local NGOs, community groups, and traditional leaders can play a vital role in bridging the gap between the judiciary and remote communities. These organizations often have a deep understanding of the local context and can help

tailor judicial services to meet the specific needs of the community. For example, they can provide translation services, cultural mediation, and logistical support, ensuring that legal processes are accessible and respectful of local customs and languages.

The use of technology is also essential for facilitating remote participation in legal processes. Video conferencing, online case management systems, and digital documentation can enable residents of remote areas to participate in court proceedings without the need for physical travel. This is particularly important in regions where transportation is difficult and expensive. By leveraging technology, the judiciary can ensure that individuals in remote areas have the same opportunities to access justice as those in urban centers.

Interinstitutional cooperation also involves collaboration with other branches of government and public services. For example, partnerships with health services, education departments, and social services can help address the broader needs of individuals seeking justice. These integrated approaches can provide a more holistic response to the issues faced by remote communities, ensuring that legal interventions are supported by the necessary social and economic resources.

THE EXPERIENCE OF AMAZONAS STATE: ADMINISTRATIVE COOPERATION IN THE BELÉM DO SOLIMÕES COMMUNITY AND THE ALTO RIO SOLIMÕES REGION

First of all, it's important to mention that this is one of the many cases of such partnerships, the famous phrase "there's many Brazils inside Brazil" is also true in the Amazonic context, "there's many Amazons in the Amazon". This case of study was shared by Judge Barbara Marinho Nogueira in her master's dissertation.

In the remote regions of the Alto Rio Solimões in Amazonas, Brazil, the administration of justice faces significant challenges. These regions, characterized by diverse cultural and geographical landscapes, necessitate innovative approaches to ensure effective judicial processes. Efforts focus on improving access to justice through interinstitutional cooperation, addressing issues related to migration, citizenship, indigenous rights, and cultural preservation.

The judiciary in Amazonas, particularly in remote areas like the Alto Rio Solimões, struggles with logistical difficulties in serving judicial notices, cultural barriers, and the lack of regular transportation. These issues hinder the administration of justice, making it essential to explore alternative methods of communication and cooperation. Understanding these local contexts is crucial for proposing viable solutions that can be applied in similar regions.

The community of Belém do Solimões exemplifies the effectiveness of interinstitutional cooperation. Located in the rural outskirts of Tabatinga, Amazonas, this community highlights the potential of partnerships between judicial and administrative entities. By analyzing judicial processes and conducting field research in the community, cooperative efforts help overcome barriers to justice. Historical and cultural contexts play a vital role in shaping these strategies, as observed in local practices.

A combination of quantitative and qualitative methods was used to collect data from judicial processes and supplement it with field visits to Belém do Solimões. Fieldwork was essential in understanding the practical challenges and existing social structures that influence judicial processes. Cooperation between the judiciary and local institutions, such as the DSEI (Distrito Sanitário Especial Indígena), proved crucial in addressing these challenges, offering insights into effective integration of judicial and administrative efforts.

Field observations in Belém do Solimões revealed intricate social dynamics and logistical hurdles. The community's reliance on non-motorized boats for transportation and lack of regular communication channels underscored the need for tailored solutions. The DSEI's infrastructure, including internet access and local knowledge, facilitated judicial communications. Leveraging local resources and knowledge enhanced judicial efficiency and access to justice.

Cultural and linguistic barriers were significant in these remote regions, with multiple ethnic groups having distinct languages and cultural practices. A culturally sensitive approach to judicial processes was necessary. The involvement of local interpreters and community leaders, as seen in the cooperation with the DSEI, bridged gaps and ensured that judicial communications were effective and respectful of local customs.

THE EXPERIENCE OF RORAIMA: PROGRAMA JUSTIÇA CIDADÃ

In Roraima, the Justiça Cidadã program stands out for establishing permanent justice units in indigenous lands and riverside areas. It covers over 77 traditional communities and more than 19 riverside communities. The program also supports the Venezuelan migrant population through Operação Acolhida, making Roraima the first Brazilian state to offer fixed and permanent judicial services in all its municipalities. This initiative is possible through partnerships with municipal governments, providing physical spaces, training staff, supplying and maintaining IT equipment, and enhancing internet infrastructure via satellite installations.

The Núcleo de Projetos e Inovação (NPI) of the Roraima Court spearheaded the planning and execution phases of the project. This included identifying ideal

locations for the posts, formalizing terms of assignment and technical cooperation agreements, and making necessary local infrastructure adjustments. Key stages, such as staff training, visual communication campaigns, and the inauguration of the posts, were meticulously executed to ensure smooth implementation.

Each Advanced Service Post operates with a team of two staff members provided by the municipalities through a Technical Cooperation Agreement, with meal allowances from the TJRR. In specific locations, such as the Waimiri-Atroari Post on the land border between Roraima and Amazonas, young community members were trained to manage local demands. This facilitates the issuance of documents like identity cards and addresses the judicial needs of citizens using technology.

The program's effectiveness is evident from the significant data, including the provision of over 7,487 services across 11 implemented posts. Detailed monitoring, carried out by a Business Intelligence panel, helps in the continuous analysis and adjustment of operations to maximize efficiency and user satisfaction. This systematic approach ensures the program adapts to the evolving needs of the communities it serves.

The implementation of Advanced Service Posts in all municipalities of Roraima represents a concerted effort to ensure uniform distribution of access to justice throughout the state's territory. This measure aims to mitigate geographical disparities that traditionally limit access to judicial services. By providing a legal assistance infrastructure that fully covers the state, the presence of posts in each municipality facilitates citizens' access to justice services, eliminating the need for extensive and costly travel.

The geographical and structural conditions in Roraima impose significant barriers to citizens' access to essential public services, particularly judicial ones. The operational and logistical reality of this region contrasts sharply with the idealized scenario often described in official documents. The difficulty of physical access to judicial buildings underscores the critical importance of structures like advanced service posts, which aim to bring judicial resources closer to the local population.

The success of the Justiça Cidadã program relies on partnerships with various entities, including the Public Defender's Office of Roraima (DPE/RR), the Public Prosecutor's Office of Roraima (MP/RR), the Identification Institute "Odílio De Souza Cruz," the Government of the State of Roraima, the Waimiri-Atroari Community Association (ACWA), and various municipal governments. These partnerships are crucial, particularly the support from municipal executive powers, to ensure the program's effective implementation and sustainability.

While the program has significantly expanded access to justice, challenges remain. Technological maintenance, continuous staff training, and the financial sustainability of the program require ongoing attention to ensure

the benefits achieved are lasting. The Justiça Cidadã Program exemplifies how digitalization and technological innovation can be applied in public administration to overcome geographical and infrastructural challenges, promoting greater digital inclusion within these communities.

CONCLUSION

Ensuring access to justice in the northern regions of Brazil, particularly in remote areas of the Amazon, presents significant challenges that require innovative solutions and interinstitutional cooperation. The experiences of the Belém do Solimões initiative in Amazonas and the Justiça Cidadã program in Roraima demonstrate how strategic partnerships and digital governance can effectively address these barriers, enhancing the delivery of judicial services to vulnerable populations.

The geographical and cultural diversity of the Amazon region poses unique obstacles to the administration of justice. In the Alto Rio Solimões area, for instance, the lack of regular transportation and the predominance of non-motorized boats for travel highlight the logistical difficulties faced by judicial officers. The innovative approach taken in the Belém do Solimões community, which includes partnerships with local institutions such as the DSEI, showcases the importance of leveraging local resources and knowledge to improve judicial efficiency and accessibility. By integrating judicial efforts with the infrastructure and expertise of local entities, these collaborations ensure that judicial processes are tailored to the specific needs and conditions of the communities they serve.

Field observations in Belém do Solimões revealed that the involvement of local interpreters and community leaders is crucial in bridging cultural and linguistic gaps. The region's multiple ethnic groups, each with distinct languages and cultural practices, necessitate a culturally sensitive approach to judicial processes. The use of local interpreters ensures that judicial communications are not only effective but also respectful of local customs, thereby enhancing the legitimacy and acceptance of judicial decisions within the community.

Similarly, the Justiça Cidadã program in Roraima exemplifies a comprehensive strategy to overcome geographical disparities in access to justice. By establishing permanent justice units in indigenous lands and riverside areas, the program addresses the unique challenges faced by these communities. The program's success is facilitated by partnerships with municipal governments, which provide essential support such as physical spaces, trained staff, IT equipment, and satellite internet installations. This multi-faceted approach ensures that the program can adapt to the evolving needs of the communities it serves.

The strategic planning and execution by the Núcleo de Projetos e Inovação (NPI) of the Roraima Court, which includes identifying ideal locations for the posts and formalizing technical cooperation agreements, underscores the importance of meticulous preparation and coordination. The training of staff and the implementation of visual communication campaigns ensure that the community is well-informed and engaged, further enhancing the program's effectiveness.

Quantitative data from the Justiça Cidadã program highlights its impact, with over 7,487 services provided across 11 implemented posts. This level of detailed monitoring, facilitated by a Business Intelligence panel, allows for continuous analysis and adjustment of operations, ensuring that the program remains responsive to the needs of its users. The systematic approach taken in Roraima ensures that judicial services are distributed uniformly throughout the state, reducing the need for citizens to undertake extensive and costly travel to access justice.

Despite the evident successes, both initiatives face ongoing challenges that require sustained attention. Technological maintenance and continuous staff training are essential to maintaining the efficacy of digital governance tools. Financial sustainability is also a critical concern, as the long-term success of these programs depends on adequate funding and resource allocation. Addressing these challenges will ensure that the benefits achieved are lasting and that the programs can be adapted or replicated in other regions with similar conditions.

The Justiça Cidadã program's reliance on partnerships with various entities, including the Public Defender's Office, the Public Prosecutor's Office, and the Identification Institute, among others, highlights the necessity of a collaborative approach. These partnerships are vital for providing comprehensive support and ensuring the program's sustainability. The support from municipal executive powers, in particular, plays a crucial role in the program's effective implementation.

In conclusion, the innovative approaches taken in the Belém do Solimões initiative and the Justiça Cidadã program demonstrate that access to justice in remote areas can be significantly improved through interinstitutional cooperation and digital governance. These case studies highlight the importance of understanding local contexts, leveraging existing resources, and fostering partnerships to ensure that all individuals, regardless of their location, have equitable access to justice. Continued efforts to address technological, training, and financial challenges will be essential to sustaining these initiatives and expanding their reach to other underserved regions. Through these concerted efforts, the principles of a democratic state, as enshrined in the Brazilian Constitution, can be realized, promoting social justice and the rule of law in even the most remote areas.

REFERENCES

- Bezerra, A. A. S. (2022). *Por uma virada ontológica no Judiciário brasileiro: Um novo papel a ser desempenhado por negros e indígenas*. *Revista Direito e Práxis*. Retrieved from <https://www.epublicacoes.uerj.br/index.php/revistaceaju/article/view/65752/43448>
- Cappelletti, M., & Garth, B. (1988). *Access to justice: The worldwide movement to make rights effective*. Boston: Sijthoff and Noordhoff.
- Conselho Nacional de Justiça. (2020). *Resolução n.º 350 de 25 de junho de 2019*. Brasília: CNJ. Retrieved from <https://www.cnj.jus.br/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/Resolu%C3%A7%C3%A3o-350.pdf>
- Moraes, B. F., Lunardi, F. C., & Correia, P. M. A. R. (2024). Digital access to judicial services in the Brazilian Amazon: Barriers and potential. *Soc. Sci.*, 13, 113. <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci13020113>
- Nogueira, B. M. (2023). *Acesso à justiça em áreas remotas no Amazonas: A cooperação institucional entre o poder judiciário e a administração pública para a prática de atos de comunicação processual (Dissertação de Mestrado)*. Escola Nacional de Formação e Aperfeiçoamento de Magistrados, Programa de Pós-Graduação Profissional em Direito, Brasília-DF. Retrieved from <https://bdjur.stj.jus.br/jspui/bitstream/2011/179768/BARBARA%20MARINHO%20NOGUEIRA.pdf>
- Nogueira, B. M. (2023). O sistema multiportas de resolução de conflitos como pacificador social em áreas remotas: Da implantação da mediação comunitária como solução de acesso à justiça. *Revista de Política Judiciária, Gestão e Administração da Justiça*, 8(1), 54-68.
- Sandefur, R. L. (2008). Access to civil justice and race, class, and gender inequality. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 34, 339-358.
- Sartori, G. (2023). *Teoria da Democracia Revisitada*. São Paulo: Editora UnB.
- Silva, J. A. (2023). *Direito Constitucional Positivo*. São Paulo: Malheiros.
- Tribunal de Justiça do Amazonas. (2022, August 4). *Poder Judiciário e Prefeitura de Humaitá assinam convênio para construção de novo fórum e apoio logístico a diligências*. Retrieved from <https://www.tjam.jus.br/index.php/menu/sala-de-imprensa/6460-poder-judiciario-e-prefeitura-de-humaita-assinam-convenio-para-construcao-de-novo-forum-e-apoio-logistico-a-diligencias>
- Tribunal de Justiça do Amazonas. (2023, March 7). *Parceria entre órgãos permite cumprimento de mandados judiciais em Canutama*. Retrieved from <https://www.tjam.jus.br/index.php/menu/sala-de-imprensa/7989-parceria-entre-orgaos-permite-cumprimento-de-mandados-judiciais-no-sul-de-canutama>
- Watanabe, K. (2019). *Acesso à justiça e tutela dos direitos coletivos*. São Paulo: Revista dos Tribunais.